Coop 1:00 ov 01740 AVII	Decument 1	Filed 00/40/2000	Dogo 4 of 44
Case 1:08-cv-01748-AKH	Document 1	Filed 02/19/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	<u>K</u>		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
QUENTIN DEMARCO AND CHRISTINA DE	MARCO	DOCKET NO.	
Plai	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,			MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			•
	NOTICE OF A	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth,	nerein in addition se are marked wit	n to those paragraphs the an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, QUENTIN DEMARC WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAP		· •	5

allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

	of New Jersey residing at 2	(OR)	5 /
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	Please	read this document carefu	lly.
	It is very important that you	ı fill out each and every sec	ction of this document.

1 of 9

Ca	se 1:08-cv-01748-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 2 of 11	
3. Jersey residin Injured Plaint	ig at 20 Steven Terrence, West Orange, tiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times I QUENTIN DEMARCO, and the injuries sustained by her hu	einafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New NJ 07052-, and has the following relationship to the herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff QUENTIN DEMARCO. Other:	
of New York	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 10/31 and New Jersey as a Sergeant at:	/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Port Authority	
j	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations	
Location(s) (a	I Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)bout 9/11/2001 until 10/31/2001; ly 12 hours per day; for	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
Approximate ======	ly <u>20</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured	
From on or al Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
☐ The Fresh From on or al Approximate Approximate	Kills Landfill bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
	<u> </u>	aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	lacktriangledown Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined		

6.

Injur	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	\square BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANT INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-01748-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers ☐; ☐ Contested, botal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisd ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
of lial	, ,	name	ACTION d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	✓	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Other: _____

Case 1:08-cv-01748-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: Sinus Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	\	Other Injury: Sleeping Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau		
Groun	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	_	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the he future suffer the following compensable
==== ✓	Pain and suffering		=======================================
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life		

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

Please read this document carefully.

Loss of earnings and/or impairment of

Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

earning capacity

retirement benefits

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

rehabilitation

Other:

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York February 18, 2008

Yours, etc.,

DeMarco

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Quentin DeMarco and Christina

By: ____

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York February 18, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
QUENTIN DEMARCO (AND WIFE, CHRISTINA DEMARCO),
Plaintiff(s) - against -
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
To Attorney(s) for
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
Dated, Attorney(s) for
======================================
the within is a (certified) true copy of an entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 OF SETTLEMENT of which the within is a true copy be presented for settlement to the HON one of the es of the in named Court, at 20 at M. d, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP